

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

H Wagner & Co AB
Norra Vallgatan 72
211 22 Malmö

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

28 -02- 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

B 309 PCT

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001626

International filing date (day/month/year)

10.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

11.11.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC7: A61F 2/46

Applicant

Bone Support AB et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☒ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Leif Brander/Els

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001626

Box No. I **Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001626

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos. 53-58

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 53-58
relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

See PCT Rule 67.1.(iv) .: Methods for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy, as well as diagnostic methods.

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. _____
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ The claims, or said claims Nos. _____ are so inadequately supported
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. _____

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of
the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not
comply with the technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE 2004/001626

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>2-3, 5-11, 16-22, 25-33, 37-43, 48-50</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1, 4, 12-15, 23-24, 34-36, 44-47, 51-52</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>2-3, 5-11, 16-22, 25-33, 37-43, 48-50</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1, 4, 12-15, 23-24, 34-36, 44-47, 51-52</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-52</u>	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Cited documents of particular relevance:

D1: US 6248110 B1

D2: EP 1132061 A2

D1 shows a device for providing spongy bone with bone substitute, according to claim 1, with a perforating device (76, figure 5H) for making a hole in the spongy bone and with a flushing device (column 9, lines 4-7) for flushing the hole. A vacuum source (86) is provided for generating a vacuum in the hole for sucking and facilitation insertion of the bone substitute (96) into said spongy bone. D2 also shows a device according to claim 1 (see figures 2 and 6).

The device according to claim 1 therefore is known from D1 and D2. Consequently, the invention defined in claim 1 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The arrangements according to claims 4, 12-15, 23-24, 34-36, 44-47 and 51-52 are also previous known from D1 or D2. Consequently, claims 4, 12-15, 23-24, 34-36, 44-47, 51-52 also lack novelty and inventive step.